

#### 4. LINES IN $\mathbb{R}^2$

**Notation 4.1.** Given  $v \in E_2$ , we define

$$v^\perp := (v_2, -v_1).$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$(35) \quad \|v^\perp\|^2 = \|v\|^2 = |v \times v^\perp|.$$

**Definition 4.1 (Lines).** Given  $P \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $v \in E^2$ , a line is the subset of

$$\ell(P, v) := \{P + tv \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

If  $v = 0$ , then  $\ell(P, v) = \{P\}$  is just a point. A point is a *degenerate* line.

The following equalities hold

$$(36) \quad \ell(P, v) = \ell(P, rv), \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$$

$$(37) \quad \ell(P, v) = \ell(P + cv, v), \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In view of the above equalities, the representation of a line with a pair  $(P, v)$  is not unique. We wish to state a precise relation between two pairs  $(P, v)$  and  $(Q, w)$  such that

$$\ell(P, v) = \ell(Q, w).$$

**Proposition 4.1.** Given  $(P, v)$  and  $(Q, w)$  such that  $v, w \neq 0$  there holds

$$\ell(P, v) = \ell(Q, w) \Leftrightarrow \overrightarrow{PQ} \times v = v \times w = 0.$$

If  $v = w = 0$ , then the proposition fails: just take  $P \neq Q$ . If only one vector between  $v$  and  $w$  is equal to zero, then  $\ell$  is different from  $\ell'$ .

*Proof.* We use the notation

$$\ell := \ell(P, v), \quad \ell' := \ell(Q, w).$$

We prove the left implication. If  $\ell = \ell'$ , then  $\ell' \subseteq \ell$ . Thus,

$$Q \in \ell' \Rightarrow Q \in \ell.$$

Therefore, there exists  $t_1$  such that

$$Q = P + t_1 w \text{ and } \overrightarrow{PQ} = t_1 v.$$

From (29),

$$(38) \quad \overrightarrow{PQ} \times v = 0.$$

Now, since  $Q + w$  is in  $\ell'$  it also belongs to  $\ell$ . Then, there exists  $t_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$Q + w = P + t_2 v.$$

which implies

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} = -w + t_2 v.$$

From (29) and (38),

$$(39) \quad 0 = \overrightarrow{PQ} \times v = -v \times w.$$

The (38) and (39) are the sought relations.

Now, we prove the right implication. Since each of the two vectors is non-zero, there are  $c, d \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$  such that

$$w = cv, \quad \overrightarrow{PQ} = dv.$$

Then by (35) and (36), we have

$$\ell(Q, w) = \ell(P + dv, cv) = \ell(P, v).$$

□

**Proposition 4.2** (Intersection of two lines). *Given two non-degenerate lines*

$$\ell := \ell(P, v), \quad \ell' := \ell(Q, w)$$

such that  $\ell \neq \ell'$ , there holds

$$\ell \cap \ell' \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow v \times w \neq 0.$$

If  $v \times w \neq 0$ , then the intersection contains the unique point

$$P + \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{PQ} \times w}{v \times w} \right) v.$$

*Proof.* We prove the left implication. We argue by contradiction. Suppose that  $T$  is in  $\ell \cap \ell'$  and  $v \times w = 0$ . Then, there are  $t, s$  and  $c \neq 0$  such that

$$v = cw, \quad T = Q + tw, \quad T = P + sv.$$

Then, by (36) and (35)

$$\begin{aligned} \ell &= \ell(P, v) = \ell(T - sv, v) = \ell(T - scw, cw) \\ &= \ell(T, w) = \ell(Q + tw, w) = \ell(Q, w) = \ell'. \end{aligned}$$

We obtained a contradiction with the assumption  $\ell \neq \ell'$ .

We prove the right implication. Suppose that  $v \times w \neq 0$ . We have to show that

$$\ell \cap \ell' \neq \emptyset$$

that is, we have to show that there are  $t, s$  such that

$$P + tv = Q + sw.$$

If the equality above holds, then

$$tv - sw = \overrightarrow{PQ}.$$

we can take the cross product in  $E_2$  with  $w$ . Then

$$(tv - sw) \times w = \overrightarrow{PQ} \times w \Rightarrow tv \times w = \overrightarrow{PQ} \times w.$$

Since  $v \times w \neq 0$ ,

$$t = \frac{\overrightarrow{PQ} \times w}{v \times w}.$$

Then, if an intersection point exists, this must be

$$(40) \quad R = P + \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{PQ} \times w}{v \times w} \right) v.$$

So, we proved the uniqueness of the intersection point. Now, we show that  $R$  is in  $\ell \cap \ell'$  (this will prove the existence of the intersection point). In fact,  $R$  is in  $\ell$  by definition of  $\ell(P, v)$ . We check that  $R$  is in  $\ell'$ ; so must show that  $R - Q = hw$  for some  $h$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Since  $w \neq 0$ , it is enough to prove that

$$\overrightarrow{QR} \times w = 0.$$

From (40), we have

$$\overrightarrow{QR} \times w = \overrightarrow{QP} \times w + \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{PQ} \times w}{v \times w} \right) v \times w = \overrightarrow{QP} \times w + \overrightarrow{PQ} \times w = 0.$$

□

**Proposition 4.3.** *Given two points  $Q, R$  such that  $Q \neq R$ , there exists a unique line  $\ell$  such that*

$$Q, R \in \ell.$$

*Proof.* Firstly, we show that

$$Q, R \in \ell(Q, \overrightarrow{QR}).$$

In fact,

$$Q = Q + 0 \cdot \overrightarrow{QR} \Rightarrow Q \in \ell$$

and

$$R = Q + 1 \cdot \overrightarrow{QR} = Q + (R - Q) = R \Rightarrow R \in \ell.$$

Now, we show that the  $\ell(Q, \overrightarrow{QR})$  is the unique line which contains  $Q$  and  $R$ . Let  $\ell := \ell(P, v)$  be such that  $Q, R \in \ell(P, v)$ . Since  $Q, R \in \ell$ , there are  $t_1, t_2$  such that

$$Q = P + t_1 v, \quad R = P + t_2 v.$$

Since  $Q \neq R$ , we have  $t_1 \neq t_2$ . Then

$$v = c \overrightarrow{QR}, \quad c := \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \neq 0.$$

From (35) and (36), there holds

$$\ell(P, v) = \ell(Q - t_1 v, c \overrightarrow{QR}) = \ell(Q, \overrightarrow{QR}).$$

□

**Definition 4.2** (Distance between two points). Given  $P, Q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we define

$$\text{dist}(P, Q) = \|\overrightarrow{PQ}\|.$$

It is called *distance between  $P$  and  $Q$* .

**Definition 4.3** (Distance between a point and a line). Given a point  $Q$  and a line  $\ell$ , we define

$$d(Q, \ell) := \inf\{d(Q, R) \mid R \in \ell\}.$$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Given a non-degenerate line  $\ell(P, v)$  and a point  $Q$ , there holds*

$$d(P, \ell) = \frac{|v \times \overrightarrow{PQ}|}{\|v\|}.$$

*Proof.* We consider the line  $\ell' := \ell(Q, v^\perp)$ . By Proposition 4.2,

$$\ell \cap \ell' \neq \emptyset$$

and the intersection contains only the point

$$Q' := Q + \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{QP} \times v}{v^\perp \times v} \right) v^\perp.$$

We claim that

$$\text{dist}(P, \ell) = \text{dist}(P, Q').$$

Since

$$\overrightarrow{Q'R} \cdot \overrightarrow{Q'Q} = 0$$

for every  $R \in \ell$ , there holds

$$d(R, Q)^2 = d(R, Q')^2 + d(Q, Q')^2.$$

Then, for every  $R$

$$d(R, Q) \geq d(Q, Q')$$

and the equality holds when  $R = Q'$ . Thus,

$$d(Q, \ell) = d(Q, Q') = \left\| \left( \frac{\overrightarrow{QP} \times v}{v \times v^\perp} \right) v^\perp \right\| = \frac{|v \times \overrightarrow{PQ}|}{\|v\|}.$$

□

**4.1. Cartesian form of a line.** Given a non-degenerate line  $\ell(P, v)$ , we can express its points using the Cartesian coordinates. We need the coordinates of the point  $P$  and the vector  $v$

$$P(x_1, x_2), v = (v_1, v_2).$$

Then, if  $Q(x, y)$  is in  $\ell(P, v)$ , then that

$$\exists t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } \overrightarrow{PQ} = tv.$$

Since  $v \neq 0$ , the statement above is equivalent to

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} \times v = 0$$

that is

$$(41) \quad a(x - x_1) + b(y - x_2) = 0.$$

where  $a = v_2$  and  $b = -v_1$ .